Inventor: Tsutomu WATANABE et al. Application No.: 10/664,486 Title: Electronic Device

Atty Docket No.: 39303-20410.00 Filing Date: Sep. 17, 2003

#### Documents Filed:

Transmittal Form (1 pg)
Petition For Extension Of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (1 pg)

- Fee Transmittal For FY2005 in duplicate (\$120 ext. of time fee to be charged to deposit account)
- Response To Restriction Requirement (2 pp) Postcard Receipt

Via: Certificate of Mailing - Express Mail - EV644665102US Sender's Initials: DLF/MA/kk

la-831688

MORRISON | FOERSTER

555 WEST FIFTH STREET LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90013-1024

TELEPHONE: 213.892.5200 FACSIMILE: 213.892.5454 WWW.MOFO.COM MORRISON & FORESTER LL?
NEW YORK, SAN PEANCHICO.
105 ANDELEM, PALO ALTO,
IAN DUECO, WASHINGTON, D.
EAWYER, NOVAWER VICINIA,
ORANGE COHNTY, SACRAMENTO,
PALHUT CREEK, CRITURY CITY
YORYO, LUNDON, SELJING,
SMANGHAI, HONG KONG,
SMACHOLIA,
SMANGHAI,
SMACHOLIA,
SMACHOLIA

To:

Name:	FACSIMILE:	TELEPHONE:
Examiner Tuan Dinh		
	571.273.1929	571.272.1929

FROM:

Mehran Arjomand

DATE:

April 10, 2006

Number of pages with cover page:		Our reference: 39303-20410.00
-------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------

Preparer of this slip has confirmed that facsimile number given is correct:

8677/MXA8

### CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL

This facsimile contains confidential information which may also be privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not copy, use, or distribute it. If you have received it in error, please advise Morrison & Foerster LLP immediately by telephone or facsimile and return it promptly by mail.

#### Comments:

Re U.S. Patent Application No. 10/664,488. Pursuant to our telephone conversation, please find attached a copy of the reply to the restriction requirement filed on December 5, 2005, a copy of the express mail label and a copy of the returned stamped postcard.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL MEHRAN ARJOMAND AT 213-892-5630 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

LA-852853
PAGE 18 \* RCVD AT 4/10/2006 2:33:21 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-624 \* DNIS:2731929 \* CSID:2138925454 \* DURATION (mm-ss):02-48

Inventor: Tsutomu WATANABE et al. Application No.: 10/564,486 Title: Electronic Device Atty Docket No.: 39303-20410.00 Filling Date: Sep. 17, 2003

## Documents Filed:

- Transmittal Form (1 pg)
   Petition For Extension Of Time Under 37 CFR 1.136(a) (1 pg)
- Petition For Extension Of Time Unions of CFR 1.130(a) (1 Pg)
   Fee Transmittal For FY2005 in duplicate (\$120 ext. of time fee to be charged to
- deposit account)
- 4. Response To Restriction Requirement (2 pp)
- Postcard Receipt

Via: Certificate of Malling - Express Mail - EV644665102US

Via: Cermicate of Malining - Express man Date: December 5, 2005
Sender's Initials: DLF/MA/kk Date: December 5, 2005



la-831688



PAGE 2/8 \* RCVD AT 4/10/2006 2:33:21 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] \* SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/24 \* DNIS:2731929 \* CSID:2138925454 \* DURATION (mm-ss):0248

Copied from 10664486 on 07/26/2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence. ig deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, Almill No. EVA44655102JS, in an envelope addressed to M.S. Mandered, Commissioner for Pleasin, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandría, VA 22315-1450, on the date shown began and the control of the contr

Docket No.: 393032041000 Clicnt reference: US195Y03 (H7903US) (PATENT)

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Tsutomu WATANABE et al. Confirmation No.: 4850

Application No.: 10/664,486 Examiner: Tuan T. Dinh

Filed: September 17, 2003 Art Unit: 2841

For: ELECTRONIC DEVICE

### RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the restriction requirement set forth in an Office Action dated October 4, 2005, for which a response was due on November 4, 2005, and for which a one-month extension of time is also requested to extend the time for response from November 4, 2005, to December 5, 2005 (the first business day following December 4, 2005).

Applicants note with appreciation the courtesy of the Examiner during a telephone interview on November 18, 2005 to discuss the restriction requirement. The restriction requirement sets forth two species: Specie I (Fig. 3) and Specie II (Fig. 4). As discussed during the interview, Figs. 3 and 4 illustrate a mixer 1 to which member 20 is attached. In Fig. 3, member 20 is attached to wall portion 14. This is known as the first attachment mode (see specification at page 9, lines 14-16). In Fig. 4, member 20 has been rotated and attached in a different manner to the mixer 1. This is known as the second attachment mode (see page 13, lines 13-14). Figs. 3 and 4 thus illustrate the same embodiment in two different attachment modes.

LA-831677

Application No.: 10/664,488 Response Dated: December 5, 2005 2

Docket No.: 393032041000

The MPEP defines species as different embodiments (see MPEP 806.04(e)). Because Figs. 3 and 4 do not illustrate different embodiments but rather the same embodiment in two different attachment modes, they are not different species. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection and request that all the claims (claims 1-8) be examined together.

Applicants understand that a complete reply requires an election even if the restriction requirement is traversed. Given that Species I and II illustrate the same embodiment, it does not matter whether Specie I or Specie II is elected, particularly in view that the same claims will read on each specie. Applicants thus hereby elect with traverse Species II (Fig. 3) in order to simply make an election. Claims 1-6 read on Fig. 3. It is believed that claims 1-6 are generic in that they read on both Figs. 3 and 4.

Applicants expressly reserve their right under 35 U.S.C. §121 to file a divisional application directed to the nonelected subject matter during the pendency of this application, or an application claiming priority from this application.

Applicants request examination on the merits according to the traversal.

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, Applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to <u>Deposit Account No. 03-1952</u> referencing Docket No. 393032041000.

Dated: December 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted.

Mehran Arjomand

Registration No.: 48,231 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90013

(213) 892-5200

la-831677